

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/699,232	10/31/2003	Thomas G. Mason	RDH-0316	3374
27810	7590 06/23/2005		EXAM	INER
	BIL RESEARCH A	GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN		
P.O. BOX 90 1545 ROUTE	*		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ANNANDALE, NJ 08801-0900			1764	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ng Ng				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
0.55	10/699,232	MASON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Walter D. Griffin	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr ite, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{26}$	April 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-19</u> is/are pending in the	e application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 17-19 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-15</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documer					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	ived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • •	ivod			
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	of the certified copies flot recei	veu.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail 3) Notice of Informa	Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 062005			

Application/Control Number: 10/699,232

Art Unit: 1764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

The rejection of claims 1 and 16-19 as described in the office action mailed on December 20, 2004 has been withdrawn in view of the amendment filed on April 26, 2005. The applied prior art does not disclose or suggest heating temperatures within the claimed ranges.

A new rejection follows.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 1764

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glaser et al. (US 3,136,711).

The Glaser reference discloses a process for dispersing asphaltenes in a petroleum oil. The process comprises heating the oil to a temperature between 160° and 220°F (71°-104°C). This heating step is sufficient to disperse the asphaltenes. In interpreting the Glaser reference and claims, the examiner equates the expressions "disperse" and "disaggregate". See column 2, lines 51-64 and column 3, lines 49-57.

The Glaser reference does not disclose the heating time ranges and does not disclose temperatures within the range of claim 19.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Glaser by utilizing the heating times because one would utilize any heating time required to achieve the desired result of asphaltene dispersion.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Glaser by utilizing temperatures within the range of claim 19 because Glaser discloses that the exact temperature of pretreatment depends on the asphaltene content of the oil. Therefore, one would adjust temperatures and utilize the lowest temperature that produces asphaltene dispersion since using lower temperatures improves the economics of the process.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not disclose or suggest a process involving determining the presence of asphaltene aggregates by irradiating petroleum oils or refinery process streams with neutrons and determining small angle neutron scattering as defined in applicants claim 2 in conjunction with a process that disaggregates asphaltenes in petroleum oils or refinery streams.

The prior art also does not disclose or suggest a method of estimating the volume fraction of asphaltene aggregates contained in a petroleum oil or refinery process stream which employs the equation parameters defined in applicants' claim 14.

The prior art also does not disclose or suggest applicants' "q" range in claims 3, 13, and 15.

In addition, the prior art does not disclose applicants' equation fitting technique in claims 4-10.

The prior art also does not disclose or suggest a method of estimating the volume fraction of asphaltene aggregates contained in a petroleum oil or refinery process stream which employs the equation parameters defined in claim 11.

Art Unit: 1764

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter D. Griffin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 to 4:00 with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner

Welt D. Duff

Art Unit 1764

WG June 20, 2005